# Short-term Renewable energy forecast uncertainty in stochastic energy systems

### Matthias Zech<sup>\*1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt (DLR), Institute of Networked Energy Systems Supervisors: Dr. Lueder von Bremen, Prof. Carsten von Agert



Novel techniques to understand and derive insights from the study of meteorological forecast errors (NWP) How can short-term forecasts be improved? How can renewable energy systems adapt to uncertainty?

#### Weather regimes





Weather regimes can explain systematic errrors of renewable energy forecasts for wind, irradiance and temperature



Wind speed (100m) mean contour by different weather regimes

#### Novel cloud classes



 $\min L(t_a, t_n, t_d) = \|f_{\theta}(t_a) - f_{\theta}(t_n)\|_2 - \|f_{\theta}(t_a - f_{\theta}(t_d))\|_2 + m$ 

## Bias correction of temperature forecasts with weather patterns

Idea: Use weather patterns to improve short-term temperature forecasts

Development of **novel method** to use weather patterns based on Lasso Regression with EOFs → Lasso-PCR

Weather pattern definition: Best performance when applied on region of interest (same as forecast) and forecasted weather pattern anomalies (Europe/FC)



Illustration of the different used data over 14 days in 2014



#### End-to-end PV learning model



MSESS for different weather pattern

definitions



Novel cloud classes are able to explain NWP forecast errors:

- Mixed-phase largest yet less correlated errors
- Water-phase clouds large and correlated errors



-0.6 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 cloud class



Method is **robust**: improvements over **all sites and times** 

InterpNet- Temporal interpolation of solar irradiance ensemble forecasts

NWP models are **computationally costly** whereas applications require **detailed spatio-temporal information Interpolation error = Changes in atmosphere + Diurnal cycle** 



2014
2015
2010
2010
2010
2010
2010
10
10
12
10
10
12
10
10
12
10
10
12
10
10
12
10
10
12
10
10
12
10
10
12
10
10
12
10
10
12
10
10
12
10
10
12
10
10
12
10
10
12
10
10
12
10
10
12
10
10
12
10
10
12
10
10
12
10
10
12
10
10
12
10
10
12
10
10
12
10
10
12
10
10
12
10
10
12
10
10
12
10
10
12
10
10
12
10
10
12
10
10
12
10
10
12
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10

Idea: Derive local azimuth/tilt angle in a way that aggregated feed-ins match reported TSO feed-ins (physical vs. neural network model) Result: Despite neural network model is more accurate, the physical model allows a reliable learning of azimuth and tilt angles → Learning within the physical non-convex optimization problem works

# Data-driven mean-variability optimization of PV portfolios with automatic differentiation

<u>Research question:</u> Can smart PV portfolios help to **hedge** against renewable energy uncertainty?

Formulate as **biobjective** optimization problem (**mean-variaibility optimization**) optimizing  $\delta_s$ ,  $\xi_s$  to optimize based on capacity factor  $c_{t,s}$ Solve in a data-driven fashion based on automatic differentiation



- There are more efficient PV portfolios in the risk-reward spectrum
- Energy policy: Feed-in mechanisms may not be the ideal policy tool

tilt angle

#### Spatio-temporal relationship of renewable forecast errors



Correlation lengths of different meteorologicalrenewable energy parameters





Neural network architecture (U-Net)

- InterpNet does not contain any diurnal bias despite only using clearness indices
- Better performance (32%)





Performance (CRPS) of the InterpNet Performance (CRPS) of the InterpNet Inte



respective tilt angle



azimuth angle

- Illustration of tilt and azimuth angles for  $\lambda=0.$  6,  $\lambda=0.$  9

• Forecast error accumulations in North and South are **uncorrelated** 

- Wind farms (incl. planned offshore sites) lead to accumulated forecast errors up to 50GW
- NAO has explanatory power to describe the dispersion of accumulated wind energy forecast errors